



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Citrobacter freundii as a test platform for recombinant cellulose degradation systems

Citation for published version:

French, C, Lakhundi, SS, Duedu, K, Cain, N, Nagy, R & Krakowiak, J 2016, 'Citrobacter freundii as a test platform for recombinant cellulose degradation systems: Citrobacter freundii for biomass degradation', *Letters in Applied Microbiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12668>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1111/lam.12668](https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12668)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Letters in Applied Microbiology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 ***Citrobacter freundii* as a test platform for recombinant cellulose**
2 **degradation systems**

3

4 Sahreena S. Lakhundi^{a,b}, Kwabena O. Duedu^{a,c}, Natasha Cain^a, Reka Nagy^a, Jakub
5 Krakowiak^a, and Christopher E. French^{a*}.

6

7 ^a School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Roger Land Building, Edinburgh
8 EH9 3JR, UK.

9 ^b Department of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, Aga Khan University, Stadium Road,
10 Karachi, Pakistan

11 ^c School of Basic & Biomedical Sciences, University of Health and Allied Sciences, PMB 31
12 Ho, Ghana

13

14 *to whom correspondence should be addressed: E-mail C.French@ed.ac.uk

15

16

17 **Running Headline**

18 *Citrobacter freundii* for biomass degradation

19

Significance and impact of the study

Biofuels have been shown to be the best sustainable and alternative source of fuel to replace fossil fuels. Of the different types of feedstocks used for producing biofuels, lignocellulosic biomass is the most abundant. Converting this biomass to useful products has been met with little success. Different approaches are being used and microbial platforms are the most promising and sustainable ways. This study shows that *Citrobacter freundii* is a better test platform for testing various combinations of cellulases for the development of microbial systems for biomass conversion.

Abstract

Cellulosic biomass represents a huge reservoir of renewable carbon, but converting it to useful products is challenging. Attempts to transfer cellulose degradation capability to industrially useful microorganisms have met with limited success, possibly due to poorly understood synergy between multiple cellulases. This is best studied by co-expression of many combinations of cellulases and associated proteins. Here we describe the development of a test platform based on *Citrobacter freundii*, a cellobiose-assimilating organism closely related to *Escherichia coli*. Standard *E. coli* cloning vectors worked well in *C. freundii*. Expression of cellulases CenA and Cex of *Cellulomonas fimi* in *C. freundii* gave recombinant strains which were able to grow at the expense of cellulosic filter paper or microcrystalline cellulose (Avicel) in a mineral medium supplemented with a small amount of yeast extract. Periodic physical agitation of the cultures was highly beneficial for growth at the expense of filter paper. This provides a test platform for the expression of combinations of genes

43 encoding biomass degrading enzymes to develop effective genetic cassettes for
44 degradation of different biomass streams.

45

46 **Keywords**

47 Biofuels, Biodegradation, Gene expression, Recombinant protein, Plasmids

48

49

50 **Introduction**

51 It is widely considered that society should move away from dependence on fossil
52 carbon for fuels and bulk chemical feedstocks, and move towards use of renewable
53 materials (Nuffield Council on Bioethics 2011; Creutzig *et al.* 2015; Fulton *et al.*
54 2015). Plant and algal biomass is the only feasible renewable source available in
55 sufficient quantities to replace a significant fraction of our current use of fossil carbon
56 (Heaton *et al.* 2008; French 2009). However, difficulties in the conversion of biomass
57 to useful chemicals make such processes generally uneconomic at present.

58 Degradation of cellulose by chemical or enzymic means is relatively slow and
59 difficult due to its insoluble and partially crystalline nature. In the paradigmatic
60 enzymic process, based on studies of organisms such as *Trichoderma reesei*, cellulose
61 chains are first nicked by endoglucanases to expose a free reducing end and non-
62 reducing end. These are then attacked by processive exoglucanases
63 (cellobiohydrolases), releasing cellobiose, which is then hydrolysed by β -glucosidases
64 to yield glucose (Lynd *et al.* 2002). Ideally, a commercial bioconversion process
65 would be accomplished by a single organism, which could produce cellulose-
66 degrading enzymes, assimilate the resulting sugars, and convert them to useful
67 products. A process of this type is referred to as 'consolidated bioprocessing' (Lynd *et*
68 *al.* 2005). However, most native cellulose-degrading organisms do not produce useful
69 products in high yield, and attempts to transfer cellulose-degrading ability to
70 industrially useful organisms have met with very limited success (French 2009); in
71 particular, growth of such recombinant organisms at the expense of crystalline
72 cellulose does not seem to have been reported, though some strains have been
73 reported to grow with amorphous substrates such as CMC (carboxymethyl cellulose)
74 and PASC (phosphoric acid swollen cellulose) (French *et al.* 2013).

75

76 Examination of the genomes of effective cellulose-degrading bacteria reveals that
77 they possess a battery of biomass degrading enzymes, generally including multiple
78 putative endoglucanases, exoglucanases and β -glucosidases. For example, the Gram
79 positive facultative anaerobe *Cellulomonas fimi* has at least four characterized
80 endoglucanases (CenA, CenB, CenC, and CenD), three exoglucanases (Cex, CbhA,
81 and CbhB), and two β -glucosidases. It is suggested, based on the genome sequence,
82 that 11 enzymes were involved in cellulose degradation in *C. fimi* (Christopherson *et*
83 *al.* 2013), with many others involved in degradation of other polysaccharides; our
84 own examination of the genome sequence (S. Kane and C. French, in preparation)
85 indicates approximately seventy genes having putative roles in liberation of sugars
86 from biomass. This suggests that intra-class and inter-class synergy play a poorly
87 understood role in biomass degradation.

88

89 One way to address this question is to use the modular assembly tools of synthetic
90 biology, such as the BioBrick RFC10 assembly system (Knight 2003), to assemble
91 many different combinations of genes encoding biomass degradation enzymes and
92 test these *in vivo* for activity against different biomass substrates. As a first step, it is
93 necessary to develop a test platform which can indicate effective cellulose
94 degradation by growth. Here we describe such a test platform based on *Citrobacter*
95 *freundii*, a close relative of *Escherichia coli* which possesses the native ability to
96 assimilate cellobiose.

97

98 **Results and discussion**

99 ***Development of *Citrobacter freundii* as a host system.***

100 In order to test synergistic effects in mixtures of cellulases and associated proteins
101 expressed in heterologous systems, we required a test platform for enzyme
102 expression. Due to the wide variety of vectors and techniques available, *E. coli* would
103 be the obvious choice; however, most *E. coli* strains are not able to assimilate
104 cellobiose. Either generation of a special host strain (Kachroo *et al.* 2007), or
105 inclusion of β -glucosidases in mixtures, would thus be required. As an alternative, we
106 investigated close relatives of *E. coli* which have the native ability to assimilate
107 cellobiose. The majority of close relatives of *E. coli*, including *Klebsiella oxytoca*,
108 which has previously been used in such experiments (Ingram *et al.* 1999; Zhou and
109 Ingram 2001) are classified as ACDP (Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens)
110 level 2 in the United Kingdom; other cellulose-assimilating relatives such as *Pantoea*
111 spp. are plant pathogens in which addition of cellulases might alter pathogenicity. We
112 chose to test *C. freundii*, a close relative of *E. coli*, which, though capable of causing
113 opportunistic infections under certain conditions, is not normally considered
114 pathogenic and it is classified as ACDP level 1. *C. freundii* has previously been little
115 used as an expression host (Jiang *et al.* 2010) but has been considered for industrial
116 scale use in manufacture of propane-1,3-diol (Kaur *et al.* 2012).

117

118 Two strains were chosen for preliminary testing: *C. freundii* SBS197, from the local
119 teaching laboratory strain collection, and *C. freundii* NCIMB11490 (ATCC 8090), the
120 type strain. The source from which the SBB197 strain was isolated is not known.
121 Since the provenance of this strain could not be established, the 16S rRNA gene
122 sequence was amplified and sequenced to confirm its identity. A near full-length

sequence of 1447 nucleotides was amplified using primers fD1 and rD1 (Weisburg *et al.* 1991) and sequenced from both ends. The identity was determined by submitting the sequence to the ribosomal database project (Cole *et al.* 2014) and performing a, NCBI GenBank Nucleotide BLAST. The assembled sequence has been deposited in the GenBank with accession number KX774629. This was found to be identical to that of known *C. freundii* strains with 99% to 100% identity. The whole genome sequences of both strains were found to be substantially similar, though with significant differences. A higher quality genome sequence for the type strain was later submitted to GenBank (accession ANAV000000000) (Kumar *et al.* 2013).

In contrast to our expectations, neither strain possessed a Type II secretion system, though both possessed a Type I secretion system, apparently associated with a large cell surface protein bearing a putative Type I recognition sequence in its C-terminal region (WP_003839819). Strain NCIMB11490 also appeared to possess a second Type I secretion system, possibly associated with a heme peroxidase, whereas SBS197 appeared to possess components of Type III and Type VI secretion systems. Both strains possessed apparent cellobiose phosphotransferase systems and multiple 6-phospho- β -glucosidases, consistent with their demonstrated ability to assimilate cellobiose. In addition, each strain possessed at least one putative periplasmic β -glucosidase.

To assess the range of *E. coli* replicons which could be used in *C. freundii*, other plasmids (Table 1) from the Registry of Standard Biological Parts were introduced into *C. freundii* NCIMB11490. *C. freundii* NCIMB11490 was found to be highly transformable, with transformation efficiencies comparable to those seen in *E. coli*

JM109 using the same method. However, *C. freundii* SBS197 showed much lower transformation efficiencies, with typically fewer than five colonies recovered in each transformation experiment, even with excess (>100 ng) supercoiled plasmid DNA. Expression of RFP led to visibly red colonies, as in *E. coli* (Additional comments in supplementary information).

Preparing and testing cellulase cassettes

As a source for well characterized cellulases, we chose to work with *Cellulomonas fimi*. While the genome sequence was not available at that time, several well studied cellulases had been cloned, and one combination in particular, CenA (endoglucanase) plus Cex (exoglucanase), had been shown to liberate reducing sugars from wood chips when expressed in a heterologous host, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Wong *et al.* 1988). We therefore prepared a genetic cassette of *cenA-cex* in BioBrick form under the control of *lac* and *spac* promoters for expression in *C. freundii* and *E. coli*.

Extracellular CenA and Cex activity were present in the test strains but absent in control strains bearing only the same plasmid with *lac* promoter (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Quantitative CenA and Cex assays showed that a significant amount of enzyme activity was present in the culture supernatant of *C. freundii* whereas in a similar experiment with *E. coli* JM109, enzyme expression was mainly intracellular (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1b). 'Leakage' of both CenA and Cex from *E. coli* (Guo *et al.* 1988) and *Caulobacter crescentus* (Bingle *et al.* 1993) has previously been reported; the mechanism is not clear, but a number of possibilities have been proposed (Ni and Chen 2009). We are currently investigating the possibility of using the native Type I secretion system of *C. freundii* for cellulase secretion.

173

174 ***Testing growth on cellulosic substrates***

175 To determine whether expression of these cellulases led to growth at the expense of
176 cellulosic substrates, cultures were grown in minimal medium (MM1) supplemented
177 with filter paper, or Avicel (powdered microcrystalline cellulose) as main carbon
178 source. Recombinant *E. coli* JM109 expressing the cellulases were not able to utilize
179 either filter paper or Avicel as a carbon source. Although there was some breakdown
180 of filter paper after 4-8d, growth did not appear to be enhanced (Supplementary Fig.
181 3). This inability could be due to the reason that *E. coli* does not produce β -
182 glucosidase which is required to break down cellobiose that is released after
183 endoglucanases (eg. CenA) and exoglucanases (eg. Cex) act on cellulose. *C. freundii*
184 on the other hand was able to utilize filter paper and Avicel as a main carbon.
185 Cultures expressing cellulases showed enhanced growth in the presence of cellulosic
186 substrates, compared to controls lacking cellulases or with no additional substrate
187 supplied (Fig. 2).

188

189 Initial growth experiments with filter paper were disappointing. However, through an
190 accident, it was noted that violent agitation of cultures led to rapid destruction of filter
191 paper in cellulase-expressing strains, but not in control strains. To test the hypothesis
192 that violent physical treatment in combination with cellulase expression was required
193 for disruption of cellulose fibres, test cultures were agitated on a vortex mixer for 60
194 seconds once every 24 hours. This led to rapid, reproducible destruction of filter paper
195 in cultures expressing cellulases after 24 to 48 hours growth, but not in cultures which
196 did not express cellulases, in which only a slight rounding of the corners of filter
197 paper squares was observed, even after prolonged incubation (7 d). In cultures that

were vortexed for one minute every 24 hours, filter paper was destroyed within 48 hours (Supplementary Fig. 2) and growth was enhanced when assessed based on the area under the growth curves (Fig. 3a). This agitation was found to have little effect on cultures provided with Avicel which is a powdered form of cellulose. Agitation has previously been reported to have a strong effect on CenA activity in processing of cotton fibres for textiles (Azevedo *et al.* 2000). Our results suggest that periodic violent agitation may generally be beneficial in biomass degradation experiments.

It was reproducibly observed that cultures expressing cellulases showed greatly enhanced colony counts compared to controls which did not express cellulases, or which were not provided with such substrates. This was not found for when *E. coli* was used as an expression host, thus we conclude that *C. freundii* appears to be a suitable test platform for testing cellulase combinations.

Materials and Methods

Organisms and growth conditions

Genetic manipulation procedures were performed in *Escherichia coli* JM109. *Citrobacter freundii* strain SBS197 was obtained from the School of Biological Sciences teaching laboratory culture collection, University of Edinburgh. *C. freundii* NCIMB11490 (ATCC8090), the type strain, was obtained from NCIMB, Aberdeen, UK. *Bacillus subtilis* 168 was obtained from Dr. Garry Blakely, University of Edinburgh. *Cellulomonas fimi* ATCC484 (type strain) was obtained from DSMZ, Germany. All organisms were grown at 37°C. Liquid cultures were incubated on a rotary shaker at 180 rpm. Organisms were maintained on Luria Agar (LA) or Nutrient

222 Agar (NA) with appropriate antibiotics as indicated below. Chloramphenicol
 223 (Duchefa Biochemie, The Netherlands) for selection recombinant *C. freundii* was
 224 added to media at a final concentration of 15 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ whereas for *E. coli*, it was 40 μg
 225 ml^{-1} . The 40 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ was found to impair the growth of *C. freundii* and by
 226 experimentation, 15 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ was found to be optimal. Carbenicillin (Melford
 227 Laboratories, UK), Kanamycin (AppliChem GmbH, Germany), Tetracycline
 228 (Duchefa Biochemie, The Netherlands), and Ampicillin (Melford Laboratories, UK)
 229 were added media at a final concentrations of 80, 50, 5 and 100 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ respectively.
 230
 231 Cellulose degradation experiments were initially conducted in Minimal Medium 1
 232 (MM1) consisting of 2 g l^{-1} Na_2HPO_4 , 1.32 g l^{-1} KH_2PO_4 , 1 g l^{-1} NH_4Cl , 1 ml l^{-1} Trace
 233 Elements A, and 1 ml l^{-1} Trace Elements B. Where indicated, 1 g l^{-1} yeast extract was
 234 also included. Trace Elements A consisted of 1 M $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 80 mmol l^{-1}
 235 CaCl_2 . Trace Elements B contained 80 mmol l^{-1} $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 20 mmol l^{-1}
 236 $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 20 mmol l^{-1} $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 4 mmol l^{-1} $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 4 mmol l^{-1}
 237 $\text{CoSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, 4 mmol l^{-1} H_3BO_3 , and 2% v/v concentrated HCl. Later experiments (as
 238 specified below) used more strongly buffered media, Minimal Medium 2 (MM2)
 239 containing 6 g l^{-1} Na_2HPO_4 and 3 g l^{-1} KH_2PO_4 (equivalent to M9 medium) with other
 240 components as for MM1. Chemicals were obtained from Sigma Aldrich, UK.
 241 Cellulose degradation experiments used Ford's Gold Medal Blotting paper (2 cm
 242 squares, approx. 50 mg each), Whatman GB003 pure cellulose blotting paper (1 cm
 243 squares, approx 30 mg each), or Avicel (microcrystalline cellulose powder, Sigma-
 244 Aldrich 310697, 20 μm particles; 5g l^{-1}). In cultures lacking insoluble substrates such
 245 as filter paper or Avicel, growth was assessed by measurement of optical density at
 246 600 nm. Such measurements were considered questionable where insoluble substrates

were present. Growth in these cases was assessed by serial dilution in sterile phosphate-buffered saline, followed by plating to LA without antibiotics and colony counting. During growth experiments with filter paper and Avicel, cultures were agitated vigorously on a vortex mixer for 60 seconds once every 24 hours. Growth was assessed by serial dilution and colony count.

Molecular biology procedures

Plasmids and BioBricks used in these experiments are shown in Table 1. Sequences of these BioBricks may be found in the Registry of Standard Biological Parts. PCR was performed using Kod Polymerase (Novogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol, except that for amplification of high GC genes from *C. fimi*, 10% v/v glycerol was included in reaction mixtures, and denaturation steps at the beginning of each cycle were extended to 1 minute. Genome sequencing of *C. freundii* SBS197 and NCIMB11490 was performed using the Ion Torrent instrument at the Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, University of Newcastle. Detailed methods used for library construction, DNA purification and concentration check among others have been detailed in the supplementary information. Preliminary assessment of gene complement was performed using the RAST service (Aziz *et al.* 2008).

Construction of BioBrick cassettes

We chose to work with RFC10 BioBricks (Knight 2003) in making our constructs. At the time of designing constructs, relatively few assembly systems could allow flexible assembly of genes from a library prepared in a standard format (Ellis *et al.* 2011). Coding sequences of *cenA* and *cex* were amplified from *C. fimi* genomic DNA using primers (cenA-F -

272 CGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCTTCTAGATGTCCACCCGCAGAACC, *cenA*-R
 273 CGTTACTAGTATTATTACCACCTGGCGTTGC, *cex*-F
 274 CGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCTTCTAGATGCCTAGGACCACGCC and *cex*-R
 275 GCTACTAGTATTATTAGCCGACCGTGCAGG) with BioBrick RFC10 prefix and
 276 suffix, and cloned in pSB1A2 (Registry of Standard Biological Parts). Site directed
 277 mutagenesis was performed to remove a PstI restriction site, forbidden in BioBrick
 278 RFC10, from *cex* by alteration of codon 261 from CAG to CAA. Standard BioBrick
 279 assembly was used to add a strong synthetic ribosome binding site to each gene, and
 280 then to combine *cenA* and *cex*, and to add either a *lac* promoter for expression in *E.*
 281 *coli* or *C. freundii*, or *spac* promoter (Yansura and Henner 1984). BioBrick cassettes
 282 bearing a *spac* or *lac* promoter together with *cenA* and *cex* of *C. fimi* (Table 1) were
 283 prepared in pSB1A2 for testing in *E. coli* and *C. freundii*. The pSB1A2 constructs
 284 were introduced into *C. freundii* SBS197 generating strains SL0 (bearing pSB1A2-
 285 BBa_J33207, *P_{lac}-lacZ'*α), SL1 (bearing pSB1A2-BBa_15509, *P_{lac}+cenA+cex*), and
 286 SL2 (bearing pSB1A2-BBa_15510, *P_{spac}+cenA+cex*).

287

288 ***Cellulase activity assays***

289 Qualitative assays were performed on Luria agar (LA) plates as described below
 290 whereas quantitative assays were performed either on culture medium or cell extracts.
 291 All cultures and experiments were performed in triplicates. Cell extracts were
 292 prepared from cell pellets using BugBuster® HT protein extraction reagent (Novagen,
 293 Inc) according to manufacturer's instructions. Qualitative assay of CenA activity was
 294 performed by growing cells on LA plates containing 0.1% w/v CMC. After overnight
 295 growth, plates were flooded with 0.1% w/v Congo Red, left for 15 min, poured away
 296 and then flooded with 1 M NaCl for 15 min. Areas where CMC was present were

stained red; areas where CMC had been hydrolysed were revealed as zones of clearing. Quantitative assay of CenA was performed using CMC labelled with Remazol Brilliant Blue (Azo-CMC, Megazyme) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A calibration curve was prepared using different concentrations of RBB under the same assay conditions and this was then used to determine the activity in the test samples.

Cex activity was assayed using methylumbelliferyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (MUC, Melford M1091). For qualitative assays, 0.1 ml MUC (5 mg/ml) was spread over agar plates and allowed to dry prior to inoculation. Strains to be tested were then inoculated and incubated overnight at 37°C. Following incubation, plates were examined under UV illumination (364 nm) to reveal fluorescent methylumbelliferone (4-MU) released by Cex activity. Alternatively, cells were suspended in buffer (equivalent to MM2 medium base) containing 0.25 mg/ml MUC in a 1ml plastic cuvette, and then examined under UV illumination. Quantitative assay of Cex was performed in the same way, with methylumbelliferone detected using a fluorimeter (Turner Biosystems Modulus single tube multimode reader, with UV fluorescence module), and quantified with reference to a calibration curve.

Acknowledgements

SSL acknowledges support from the Higher Education Commission (HEC), Pakistan. KOD acknowledges support from the Darwin Trust of Edinburgh. RN and JK's participation in iGEM 2012 was supported by the Scottish Universities Life Sciences Alliance (SULSA). We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Dr Wendy Smith

and Professor Anil Wipat of the Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, University of Newcastle, with regard to sequencing of the genomes of *C. freundii* NCIMB11490 and SBS197.

Note: parts of this project related to use of *E. coli* vector systems in *C. freundii* were presented at the International Genetically Engineered Machine competition (iGEM) 2012 by the University of Edinburgh student team, of which RN and JK were members.

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest declared.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional comments on growth conditions, figures of results as referred to in the main article

References

Azevedo, H., Bishop, D. and Cavaco-Paulo, A. (2000) Effects of agitation level on the adsorption, desorption, and activities on cotton fabrics of full length and core domains of EGV (*Humicola insolens*) and CenA (*Cellulomonas fimi*). *Enzyme Microb Technol* **27**, 325-329.

Aziz, R.K., Bartels, D., Best, A.A., DeJongh, M., Disz, T., Edwards, R.A., Formsma, K., Gerdes, S., Glass, E.M., Kubal, M., Meyer, F., Olsen, G.J., Olson, R., Osterman,

344 A.L., Overbeek, R.A., McNeil, L.K., Paarmann, D., Paczian, T., Parrello, B., Pusch,
 345 G.D., Reich, C., Stevens, R., Vassieva, O., Vonstein, V., Wilke, A. and Zagnitko, O.
 346 (2008) The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC*
 347 *Genomics* **9**, 75.

348 Bingle, W.H., Kurtz, H.D., Jr. and Smit, J. (1993) An "all-purpose" cellulase reporter
 349 for gene fusion studies and application to the paracrystalline surface (S)-layer protein
 350 of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Can J Microbiol* **39**, 70-80.

351 Christopherson, M.R., Suen, G., Bramhacharya, S., Jewell, K.A., Aylward, F.O.,
 352 Mead, D. and Brumm, P.J. (2013) The genome sequences of *Cellulomonas fimi* and
 353 "*Cellvibrio gilvus*" reveal the cellulolytic strategies of two facultative anaerobes,
 354 transfer of "*Cellvibrio gilvus*" to the genus *Cellulomonas*, and proposal of
 355 *Cellulomonas gilvus* sp. nov. *PloS one* **8**, e53954.

356 Cole, J.R., Wang, Q., Fish, J.A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D.M., Sun, Y., Brown, C.T.,
 357 Porras-Alfaro, A., Kuske, C.R. and Tiedje, J.M. (2014) Ribosomal Database Project:
 358 data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D633-642.

359 Creutzig, F., Ravindranath, N.H., Berndes, G., Bolwig, S., Bright, R., Cherubini, F.,
 360 Chum, H., Corbera, E., Delucchi, M., Faaij, A., Fargione, J., Haberl, H., Heath, G.,
 361 Lucon, O., Plevin, R., Popp, A., Robledo-Abad, C., Rose, S., Smith, P., Stromman,
 362 A., Suh, S. and Masera, O. (2015) Bioenergy and climate change mitigation: an
 363 assessment. *GCB Bioenergy* **7**, 916-944.

364 Ellis, T., Adie, T. and Baldwin, G.S. (2011) DNA assembly for synthetic biology:
 365 from parts to pathways and beyond. *Integr Biol (Camb)* **3**, 109-118.

366 French, C.E. (2009) Synthetic biology and biomass conversion: a match made in
 367 heaven? *J R Soc Interface* **6 Suppl 4**, S547-558.

368 French, C.E., Barnard, D.K., Fletcher, E., Kane, S.D., Lakhundi, S.S., Liu, C.-K. and
 369 Elfick, A. (2013) Synthetic Biology for Biomass Conversion. In *New and Future*
 370 *Developments in Catalysis* ed. Suib, S.L. pp.115-140. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

371 Fulton, L.M., Lynd, L.R., Körner, A., Greene, N. and Tonachel, L.R. (2015) The need
 372 for biofuels as part of a low carbon energy future. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and*
 373 *Biorefining* **9**, 476-483.

374 Guo, Z., Arfman, N., Ong, E., Gilkes, N.R., Kilburn, D.G., Warren, R.A.J. and Miller,
 375 R.C. (1988) Leakage of *Cellulomonas fimi* cellulases from *Escherichia coli*. *FEMS*
 376 *Microbiol Lett* **49**, 279-283.

377 Heaton, E.A., Dohleman, F.G. and Long, S.P. (2008) Meeting US biofuel goals with
 378 less land: the potential of Miscanthus. *Global Change Biol* **14**, 2000-2014.

379 Ingram, L.O., Aldrich, H.C., Borges, A.C., Causey, T.B., Martinez, A., Morales, F.,
 380 Saleh, A., Underwood, S.A., Yomano, L.P., York, S.W., Zaldivar, J. and Zhou, S.
 381 (1999) Enteric bacterial catalysts for fuel ethanol production. *Biotechnol Prog* **15**,
 382 855-866.

383 Jiang, P.X., Wang, H.S., Zhang, C., Lou, K. and Xing, X.H. (2010) Reconstruction of
 384 the violacein biosynthetic pathway from *Duganella* sp. B2 in different heterologous
 385 hosts. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **86**, 1077-1088.

386 Kachroo, A.H., Kancherla, A.K., Singh, N.S., Varshney, U. and Mahadevan, S.
 387 (2007) Mutations that alter the regulation of the chb operon of *Escherichia coli* allow
 388 utilization of cellobiose. *Mol Microbiol* **66**, 1382-1395.

389 Kaur, G., Srivastava, A.K. and Chand, S. (2012) Advances in biotechnological
 390 production of 1,3-propanediol. *Biochem Eng J* **64**, 106-118.

391 Knight, T. (2003) Idempotent vector design for standard assembly of BioBricks: MIT
 392 Artificial Intelligence Laboratory; MIT Synthetic Biology Working Group.

393 Kumar, S., Kaur, C., Kimura, K., Takeo, M., Raghava, G.P. and Mayilraj, S. (2013)
 394 Draft genome sequence of the type species of the genus *Citrobacter*, *Citrobacter*
 395 *freundii* MTCC 1658. *Genome Announc* **1**.

396 Lynd, L.R., van Zyl, W.H., McBride, J.E. and Laser, M. (2005) Consolidated
 397 bioprocessing of cellulosic biomass: an update. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* **16**, 577-583.

398 Lynd, L.R., Weimer, P.J., van Zyl, W.H. and Pretorius, I.S. (2002) Microbial
 399 cellulose utilization: fundamentals and biotechnology. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **66**,
 400 506-577, table of contents.

401 Ni, Y. and Chen, R. (2009) Extracellular recombinant protein production from
 402 *Escherichia coli*. *Biotechnol Lett* **31**, 1661-1670.

403 Nuffield Council on Bioethics (2011) *Biofuels: ethical issues*. Abingdon: Nuffield
 404 Council on Bioethics.

405 Registry of Standard Biological Parts Available at
 406 http://parts.igem.org/Main_Page?title=Main_Page.

407 Weisburg, W.G., Barns, S.M., Pelletier, D.A. and Lane, D.J. (1991) 16S ribosomal
 408 DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *Journal of bacteriology* **173**, 697-703.

409 Wong, W.K.R., Curry, C., Parekh, R.S., Parekh, S.R., Wayman, M., Davies, R.W.,
 410 Kilburn, D.G. and Skipper, N. (1988) Wood Hydrolysis by *Cellulomonas fimi*
 411 endoglucanase and exoglucanase coexpressed as secreted enzymes in *Saccharomyces*
 412 *cerevisiae*. *Bio-Technol* **6**, 713-719.

413 Yansura, D.G. and Henner, D.J. (1984) Use of the *Escherichia coli* Lac repressor and
 414 operator to control gene-expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. *P Natl Acad Sci-Biol* **81**, 439-
 415 443.

416 Zhou, S.D. and Ingram, L.O. (2001) Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation
 417 of amorphous cellulose to ethanol by recombinant *Klebsiella oxytoca* SZ21 without
 418 supplemental cellulase. *Biotechnol Lett* **23**, 1455-1462.

419
 420

Figures

Fig.1 Secretion of expressed cellulases by the different recombinant constructs of *E. coli* JM109 and *C. freundii* SBS197. Cells and culture supernatant were tested for exoglucanase and endoglucanase activity after 24 hours growth. A. Exoglucanase activity determined as the amount of 4-MU released per minute per ml; B. Endoglucanase activity determined using the Azo-CM cellulose assay (Megazyme Int., Ireland) based on release of Remazol Brilliant Blue.

Fig. 2 Growth with cellulose (filter paper or microcrystalline powder) as carbon source. Cultures contained MM1 as described in the text with 2 squares of 2×2 cm Fords Gold Medal Blotting paper, equivalent to 31 mmol l⁻¹ glucose (A) or 100 mg microcrystalline cellulose (B). Results using *E. coli* JM109 constructs are presented in Supplementary Fig. 3.

Fig. 3 Effects of vortexing on filter paper break down, utilization and maintenance of cells. Growth conditions were as described for Fig. 2 above. Cells expressed CenA and Cex from the *spac* promoter (A) or the *lac* promoter (B). For ‘V’, cultures were vigorously agitated using a vortex mixer was for one minute every 24 hours, whereas for ‘NV’, no vortexing was performed. Area under the curves were calculated and compared (Supplementary Fig. 2a).

445 **Tables**

446 **Table 1: Plasmids and BioBricks used in these experiments**

| Vector or BioBrick | Composition | Source |
|--|---|--|
| pSB1A2 | pMB1 replication origin, ampicillin/carbenicillin resistance | Registry of Standard Biological Parts |
| pSB1C3 | pMB1 replication origin, ampicillin/carbenicillin resistance | Registry of Standard Biological Parts |
| pSB2K3 | F' replication origin plus P1 lytic replication origin, kanamycin resistance | Registry of Standard Biological Parts |
| pSB3C5 | p15A replication origin, chloramphenicol resistance | Registry of Standard Biological Parts |
| pSB4C5 | pSC101 replication origin, chloramphenicol resistance | Registry of Standard Biological Parts |
| pTG262 (modified for BioBrick use, BBa_I742123) | pWV01 replication origin, functional in both <i>E. coli</i> and <i>B. subtilis</i> (de Vos and Simons, 1994), chloramphenicol resistance | original plasmid kindly provided by C.A. Shearman and M.J. Gasson, Institute of Food Research, Norwich, UK. |
| BBa_J33207 | <i>lac</i> promoter plus sequence encoding N-terminal 77 amino acids of LacZ | cloned from <i>Escherichia coli</i> BL21 genomic DNA |
| BBa_J15001 | strong synthetic ribosome binding site | specifically designed |
| BBa_J15503 | <i>spac</i> promoter | cloned from plasmid pVK168 kindly provided by Prof. Patrick Piggot, Temple University, Arizona |

| | | |
|-------------|---|---|
| BBa_J15504 | <i>lacI</i> encoding <i>lac</i> repressor | cloned from <i>Escherichia coli</i> BL21 genomic DNA |
| BBa_J15505 | synthetic ribosome binding site plus <i>lacI</i> | Assembly of BioBricks shown above |
| BBa_K118023 | <i>cenA</i> encoding endoglucanase | <i>Cellulomonas fimi</i> ATCC484 |
| BBa_K118022 | <i>cex</i> encoding bifunctional xylanase/exoglucanase (PstI site removed by silent mutation) | <i>Cellulomonas fimi</i> ATCC484 |
| BBa_J15507 | synthetic ribosome binding site plus <i>cenA</i> | Assembly of BioBricks shown above |
| BBa_J15508 | synthetic ribosome binding site plus <i>cex</i> | Assembly of BioBricks shown above |
| BBa_J15506 | <i>spac</i> promoter plus <i>lacI</i> | Assembly of BioBricks shown above |
| BBa_J15509 | <i>lac</i> promoter plus RBS- <i>cenA</i> plus RBS- <i>cex</i> | Assembly of BioBricks shown above |
| BBa_J15510 | <i>spac</i> promoter plus <i>lacI</i> plus RBS- <i>cenA</i> plus RBS- <i>cex</i> | Assembly of BioBricks shown above |

Figure 1





Figure 2





Figure 3

A.



B.

